117TH CONGRESS	C	
1st Session	5.	

To direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct and update biennially a study on the effects of State legalized marijuana programs, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. Menendez (for himself and Mr. Paul) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on

A BILL

- To direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct and update biennially a study on the effects of State legalized marijuana programs, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Marijuana Data Col-
 - 5 lection Act".
 - 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
 - 7 Congress finds the following:

1	(1) Nearly two-thirds of Americans—about 68
2	percent—favor marijuana legalization.
3	(2) A total of 33 States, the District of Colum-
4	bia, Puerto Rico, and Guam have legalized mari-
5	juana for medicinal use, and of those, 10 States and
6	the District of Columbia have legalized marijuana
7	for adult non-medicinal use.
8	(3) Despite State legalization, marijuana re-
9	mains illegal under Federal law, listed in schedule I
10	under the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801
11	et seq.).
12	(4) Every day, more Americans die from over-
13	dosing on opioids. In 2016, the Centers for Disease
14	Control and Prevention estimated that more than
15	42,000 Americans died from opioid-related drug
16	overdoses. President Trump has, on 2 separate occa-
17	sions, declared the opioid crisis as a public health
18	emergency.
19	(5) Studies suggest that increased access to
20	marijuana is associated with reductions in opioid
21	abuse and opioid-related deaths, among other eco-
22	nomic and social benefits:
23	(A) A study published in the Journal of
24	the American Medical Association (JAMA) in
25	2014 that compared mortality rates between

1	States that legalized medical marijuana versus
2	States that have not legalized medical mari-
3	juana found that States that had legalized med-
4	ical marijuana had, on average, 20 percent
5	fewer opioid-related overdose deaths in the first
6	year of legalization compared to States that had
7	not legalized marijuana. This difference wid-
8	ened in subsequent years after legalization.
9	(B) A study published in the American
10	Journal of Public Health in 2017 found that
11	opioid-related deaths tended to decline after the
12	legalization of non-medicinal marijuana for
13	adults in the State of Colorado. This study esti-
14	mated a 6.5-percent reduction in opioid-related
15	deaths compared to pre-legalization.
16	(6) Due to marijuana legalization, States have
17	generated millions in taxes and revenue and have al-
18	located these funds into public health, education,
19	economic development, restorative justice, and job
20	creation, such as—
21	(A) substance use disorder treatment and
22	drug use prevention programs;
23	(B) school construction;
24	(C) behavioral health programs;

1	(D) State alcohol and drug treatments
2	funds;
3	(E) basic health plans;
4	(F) community residential centers;
5	(G) youth drug use prevention;
6	(H) jail diversion;
7	(I) mental health treatment; and
8	(J) job creation and placement.
9	(7) A robust and properly regulated marijuana
10	industry wherein States are allowed to operate mari-
11	juana programs free from Federal interference
12	stands to benefit States' public health, education,
13	economic, and law enforcement and judicial sectors.
14	SEC. 3. REPORT CONCERNING THE EFFECTS OF STATE LE-
15	GALIZED MARIJUANA PROGRAMS.
16	(a) In General.—The Secretary of Health and
17	Human Services, in coordination with the Attorney Gen-
18	eral, the Secretary of Labor, and (to the greatest extent
19	possible) with relevant State agencies responsible for
20	health programs and activities in States that have legal-
21	ized marijuana for medicinal or non-medicinal use, shall
22	enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National Acad-
23	emy of Sciences—
24	(1) to complete a study, not later than 18
25	months after the date of enactment of this Act, and

1	to update such study on a biennial basis thereafter
2	for the duration of the arrangement period, on the
3	effects of State legalized marijuana programs on the
4	economy, public health, criminal justice, and employ-
5	ment in the respective States;
6	(2) upon the completion of the initial study pur-
7	suant to paragraph (1) and upon each update to the
8	study, to prepare or update a report on the results
9	of such study and submit such report to Congress;
10	and
11	(3) not later than 30 days after the date of sub-
12	mission of the initial report under paragraph (2),
13	develop and publish best practices on data collection
14	under subsection (e).
15	(b) STUDY CONSIDERATIONS.—The study pursuant
16	to subsection $(a)(1)$ shall consider the effects of State le-
17	galized marijuana programs, including yearly rates and
18	trends over the course of the study under such subsection,
19	with respect to the following:
20	(1) REVENUES AND STATE ALLOCATIONS.—
21	(A) The monetary amounts generated
22	through revenues, taxes, and any other financial
23	benefits.
24	(B) The purposes and relative amounts for
25	which such funds were used.

1	(C) The total impact on the State and its
2	budget.
3	(2) Medicinal use of marijuana.—
4	(A) The rates of medicinal use of mari-
5	juana among different population groups, in-
6	cluding children, the elderly, veterans, and indi-
7	viduals with disabilities.
8	(B) The purpose of such use.
9	(C) Which medical conditions medical
10	marijuana is most frequently purchased and
11	used for.
12	(3) Substance use.—
13	(A) The rates of overdoses with opioids
14	and other painkillers.
15	(B) The rates of admission in health care
16	facilities, emergency rooms, and volunteer treat-
17	ment facilities related to overdoses with opioids
18	and other painkillers.
19	(C) The rates of opioid-related and other
20	painkiller-related crimes to one's self and to the
21	community.
22	(D) The rates of opioid prescriptions and
23	other pain killers.
24	(4) Impacts on Criminal Justice.—

1	(A) The rates of marijuana-related arrests
2	for possession, cultivation, and distribution, and
3	of these arrests, the percentages that involved a
4	secondary charge unrelated to marijuana pos-
5	session, cultivation, or distribution, including—
6	(i) the rates of such arrests on the
7	Federal level, including the number of
8	Federal prisoners so arrested,
9	disaggregated by sex, age, race, and eth-
10	nicity of the prisoners; and
11	(ii) the rates of such arrests on the
12	State level, including the number of State
13	prisoners so arrested, disaggregated by
14	sex, age, race, and ethnicity.
15	(B) The rates of arrests and citations on
16	the Federal and State levels related to teenage
17	use of marijuana.
18	(C) The rates of arrests on the Federal
19	and State levels for unlawful driving under the
20	influence of a substance, and the rates of such
21	arrests involving marijuana.
22	(D) The rates of marijuana-related pros-
23	ecutions, court filings, and imprisonments.
24	(E) The total monetary amounts expended
25	for marijuana-related enforcement, arrests,

1	court filings and proceedings, and imprisonment
2	before and after legalization, including Federal
3	expenditures disaggregated according to wheth-
4	er the laws being enforced were Federal or
5	State.
6	(F) The total number and rate of defend-
7	ants in Federal criminal prosecutions asserting
8	as a defense that their conduct was in compli-
9	ance with applicable State law legalizing mari-
10	juana usage, and the effects of such assertions
11	(5) Employment.—
12	(A) The amount of jobs created in each
13	State, differentiating between direct and indi-
14	rect employment.
15	(B) The amount of jobs expected to be cre-
16	ated in the next 5 years, and in the next 10
17	years, as a result of the State's marijuana in-
18	dustry.
19	(c) Study Timeframe.—The study pursuant to sub-
20	section (a)(1) shall consider the data collected and ana-
21	lyzed in connection with the items listed in subsection (b)
22	in the respective States to the extent possible across the
23	period—
24	(1) beginning 5 years before the effective date
25	of legalization of marijuana in the State; and

1	(2) ending on a date determined by the Na-
2	tional Academy of Sciences to allow collection and
3	analysis of the most recent data available.
4	(d) Report Contents.—Reports pursuant to sub-
5	section (a)(2) shall—
6	(1) address both State programs that have le-
7	galized marijuana for medicinal use and those that
8	have legalized marijuana for adult non-medicinal use
9	and to the extent practicable distinguish between
10	such programs and their effects;
11	(2) include a national assessment of average
12	trends across States with such programs in relation
13	to the effects on economy, public health, criminal
14	justice, and employment in the respective States, in-
15	cluding with respect to the items listed in subsection
16	(b); and
17	(3) describe—
18	(A) any barriers that impeded the ability
19	to complete or update aspects of the study re-
20	quired by subsection (a)(1) and how such bar-
21	riers can be overcome for purposes of future
22	studies; and
23	(B) any gaps in the data sought for the
24	study required by subsection (a)(1) and how

1	these gaps can be eliminated or otherwise ad-
2	dressed for purposes of future studies.
3	(e) Best Practices for Data Collection by
4	STATES.—The best practices pursuant to subsection
5	(a)(3) shall consist of best practices for the collection by
6	States of the information described in the items listed in
7	subsection (b), including such best practices for improv-
8	ing—
9	(1) data collection;
10	(2) analytical capacity;
11	(3) research integrity; and
12	(4) the comparability of data across States.